

Select DOI Coordination Examples			
Federal Agency	Local Government	Species or Issue	Coordination Summary
BLM, USFWS	Owyhee County, ID	Bruno Hot Springs Snail (BHS)	BHS was listed by USFWS as Endangered without coordinating with County; County sought & received Declaratory Judgment; 9 th Circuit held in part due to lack of Coordination & ordered BHS Delisted. Later, many BHSs were found downstream on invasive plants, and USFWS requested County to engage in permitting for eradication of plant-containing BHSs.
BLM, USFWS	Owyhee County, ID	Spotted Frog (SF)	BLM, seeking to rescind grazing permits, began searching for SF in order to propose its Listing. USFWS knew where SFs were and approached County to secure private property access to demonstrate. County supported, additional SFs were located and listing was thwarted as SFs were not limited to one locality.
BLM, USFS	Modoc County, CA	Ecosystem-wide Workplan	USFS was in process of preparing ecosystem-wide workplan for Sierra mountains when Modoc County raised conflicts with local forest-management grazing plans. Modoc emphasized need for USFS to reach consistency and after negotiations USFS and BLM withdrew ecosystem-wide workplan for the Modoc area of the Sierra mountains.
USFWS, USFS	Glen Lake Irrigation District	Endangered Bull Trout (EBT)	Glen Lake operates dam receiving water from USFS-managed watershed. Intake screens installed to USFS standards were reportedly trapping EBT Fry, and USFS pressured GLD to effect screen [dam] removal. USFWS approached Glen Lake to apply for Incidental Take Permit; Glen Lake declined citing USFWS and USFS had not properly performed their investigative responsibilities. Closure is achieved with preparation of Habitat Management Plan.
BLM, USFS	Arizona/Utah Local Economic Council	Proposed Withdrawal of Public Lands	In July, 2009 BLM, citing groundwater contamination, proposed a Notice of Segregation to remove 1,010,776 acres of Public Lands in Arizona Strip District. Council challenged withdrawal in Arizona District Court citing interference with local environmental land-use plans and economic impacts. Ensuing litigation exposed procedural omissions, Data Quality problems, violation of FLPMA Multiple Use Standards and lack of Coordination with local governments economically affected by proposed withdrawal. Court Denied the government's motion to dismiss, requiring the issues to be tried.